

## **On the Judicial Power Examination of the Salt Court Officials in the Late Tang Dynasty—— Take the Epitaph of Lu Boqing And His Wife Cui as an Example**

Hailong Sun\*

**Abstract:** The epitaph of Lu Boqing and his wife Cui is respectively entitled “Preface to the tomb of Lu Fu of Fan Yang” and “Preface to the epitaph of Lu Gong’s wife”. The two epitaphs, totaling more than 1,400 characters, record Lu’s family and official experience. Lu Boqing successively served as the official of the salt and iron system, including the inspector of the Dongwei Bridge, the Yunyang governor, the judge of the two pools, the governor of the Langzhong governor and the supervisor of Yancheng. The experience of the middle and lower levels of the epitaph is an important data for us to study the acquisition of judicial power and the transfer of judicial positions in the late Tang Dynasty.

**keywords:** Tang Dynasty, Salt courtyard, Judicial power, Judicial position, Lu Boqing, Epitaph

---

\* Koguan School of Law, Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

## Table of Contents

<b>I. Original Text of the Epitaph of Lu Boqing and His Wife Cui .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>II. Genealogy and Official Career of Lu Boqing .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>III. Acquisition of Judicial Authority and Transition of Judicial Positions of Lu Boqing.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>IV. Conclusion .....</b>	<b>12</b>

## Constitutional Remedies: In One Era and Out the Other

Richard H. Fallon, Jr.; trans. by Mengyao Zhang, Xinjie Xu, Weihang Luo\*

**Abstract:** Despite the ringing dictum of *Marbury v. Madison* that “every right, when withheld, must have a remedy,” rights to remedies have always had a precarious constitutional status. For over one hundred years, the norm was that victims of ongoing constitutional violations had rights to injunctive relief. But the Constitution nowhere expressly prescribes that norm, and recent Supreme Court decisions, involving suits for injunctions and damages alike, have left the constitutional connection between rights and remedies more attenuated than ever before.

This Article explores the conceptual and doctrinal connections between constitutional rights and entitlements to judicial remedies. *Whole Woman’s Health v. Jackson* — which largely vindicated Texas’s strategy for insulating an antiabortion law from judicial challenge via suits for injunctions — furnishes the Article’s primary window into the current doctrinal landscape. But the Article’s perspective is broadly historical. It assumes throughout that we cannot understand the present law without understanding the background from which it developed and, in increasingly important respects, from which it now deviates.

The Article’s central thesis combines empirical and normative aspects: Although the modern Supreme Court has wielded separation of powers arguments to truncate constitutional remedies, the Court’s premises are mistaken. The Constitution frequently, though not invariably, requires effective remedies for constitutional rights violations. When Congress fails to authorize such remedies, nothing in the Constitution’s history or tradition precludes a role for the Supreme Court in devising remedies that are necessary to enforce substantive rights. If we have entered an era in which a majority of the Justices believe otherwise, the situation is a deeply regrettable one in which the concept of a constitutional right will be cheapened.

**Keywords:** constitutional remedies, constitutional rights, *Whole Woman’s Health v. Jackson*, injunctive relief, originalism, textualism

---

\* Richard H. Fallon, Jr., Harvard Law School. Mengyao Zhang, School of Law, Xi’an Jiaotong University; Xinjie Xu, Weihang Luo, School of Law, Jiangxi University of Finance and Economics.

## Table of Contents

<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>I. Constitutional rights and remedies: Where we have been.....</b>	<b>22</b>
A. The Traditional Law of Constitutional Remedies: An Opinionated Sketch.....	24
B. Explanatory Themes.....	33
<b>II. Sea Change: Beginnings of the Current Era .....</b>	<b>36</b>
A. Rights of Access to Injunctive Relief.....	36
B. Rights of Access to Injunctive Relief.....	39
C. Limitations on Congressional Power to Authorize Remedies .....	42
<b>III. Jackson and Constitutional Remedies.....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>IV. Jackson’ s Implications .....</b>	<b>47</b>
A. Constitutional Remedies and the Due Process Clause.....	48
B. The Traditions of Equity and Their Limits.....	57
C. The Right to Present Constitutional Defenses Against Enforcement Actions.....	63
D. Equitable Versus Legal Remedies.....	64
<b>V. Originalism, Textualism, and Deference to the Prerogatives of Congress.....</b>	<b>66</b>
A. Originalism and Textualism as Guarantors of Interpretive Modesty?.....	66
B. Stare Decisis.....	69
<b>VI. Conclusion.....</b>	<b>73</b>

## How Medicalization of Civil Rights Could Disappoint

Allison K. Hoffman; trans. by Xinyan Pang\*

**Abstract:** This paper responds to Craig Konnoth's article "Medicalization and the New Civil Rights," exploring the adverse effects of transforming civil rights claims into a framework secured by medical rights. Although medicalization may offer a new pathway for anti-discrimination and rights protection from a short-term utilitarian perspective, in the long run, this transformation may bring sociological limitations, reducing the emphasis on structural solutions that can address the root causes of racism, sexism, and other forms of discrimination, and may also conceal some discrimination issues. The paper also examines the volatility of the pragmatic benefits of medicalization, pointing out that medicalization may make people more willing to accept civil rights claims because it shifts the responsibility away from individuals, thus making them more eligible for support. However, its short-term benefits may gradually disappear as medical rights become a new battleground for civil rights disputes.

**Keywords:** Civil Rights Claims, Medical Rights, Discrimination, Inequality

---

\* Allison K. Hoffman, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School; Xinyan Pang, School of Law, Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics.

## Table of Contents

<b>I. Sociological Narrowing .....</b>	<b>77</b>
A. Too Little, Too Late.....	78
B. Partially Obscuring Discrimination.....	80
<b>II. The Malleability of Medicalization's Utilitarian Benefits .....</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>III. Conclusion.....</b>	<b>85</b>

## The Invention of Antitrust

Herbert Hovenkamp; trans. by Zihan Zhang\*

**Abstract:** The long Progressive Era, from 1900 to 1930, was the Golden Age of antitrust theory, if not of enforcement. During that period courts and Progressive scholars developed nearly all of the tools that we use to this day to assess anticompetitive practices under the federal antitrust laws. In a very real sense, we can say that this group of people invented antitrust law. The principal contributions the Progressives made to antitrust policy were (1) partial equilibrium analysis, which became the basis for concerns about economic concentration, the distinction between short- and long-run analysis, and later provided the foundation for the development of the antitrust "relevant market"; (2) the classification of costs into fixed and variable, with the emergent belief that industries with high fixed costs were more problematic; (3) the development of the concept of entry barriers, contrary to a long classical tradition of assuming that entry is easy and quick; (4) the distinction between horizontal and vertical relationships and the emergence of vertical integration as a competition problem; and (5) price discrimination as a practice that could sometimes have competitive consequences. Finally, at the end of this period came (6) theories of imperfect competition, including the rediscovery of oligopoly theory and the rise of product differentiation as relevant to antitrust policy making. Subsequent to 1930, antitrust policy veered sharply to the left. Then, two decades later it turned just as sharply to the right. Eventually it moderated, reaching a point that is not all that far away from the Progressives' original vision.

**Keywords:** Antitrust Law, Progressive Era, Marginalist Economics

---

\* Herbert Hovenkamp, James G. Dinan University Professor, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School and the Wharton School; Zihan Zhang, Hongkong University.

## Table of Contents

<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>I. The Chicago Conference on Trust.....</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>II. Marginalist Economics and Market Revisionism .....</b>	<b>97</b>
A. Markets as Human Institutions: Coercion.....	98
B. Partial Equilibrium Analysis .....	101
C. Industrial Concentration.....	105
D. Fixed Costs And Equilibrium.....	108
E. Market Failure and Regulation.....	112
F. Price Discrimination .....	114
G. Monopoly Power And Structure: Potential Competition, Barriers To Entry, And The Relevant Market.....	118
<b>III. The Emergence Of Vertical Competition Policy.....</b>	<b>132</b>
A. "Competition," Horizontal And Vertical .....	132
B. Progressive Economics And Vertical Integration .....	138
C. Progressives And The Emerging Law Of Vertical Integration .....	140
D. Growing Fears of Vertical Control After World War II.....	148
<b>IV. Conclusion .....</b>	<b>150</b>



## Medical Civil Rights as a Site of Activism: A Reply to Critics

Craig Konnoth; trans. by Tianyi Cao\*

**Abstract:** This article is a response to critiques of “Medicalization and the New Civil Rights,” arguing that medical discourse, often criticized for reinforcing dependency and stigma, can also be a powerful tool for social justice. Drawing on previous events such as the COVID-19 pandemic and racial justice protests, the author examines how activists and policymakers have used medical frameworks to reframe systemic injustices—such as racism and disability discrimination—as public health crises. The article highlights the evolving role of medicine as a site of participatory justice, shaped not only by professionals but by affected communities themselves. Through examples from disability rights, transgender advocacy, and long COVID movements, the paper illustrates how medical civil rights claims, though not without cost, can enable access to benefits, reshape legal categories, and foster solidarity. It calls for a nuanced understanding of medicalization’s risks and potential, urging scholars to support strategies that maximize its emancipatory power.

**Keywords:** Medicalization, Civil rights, Medical civil rights, Social justice, Disability law, Public health, Participatory justice

---

\* Craig Konnoth, University of Virginia School of Law; Tianyi Cao, School of Law, Hongkong University.

## Table of Contents

<b>I. What is Medicalization?</b> .....	157
<b>II. What Benefits Does Medicalization Provide?</b> .....	161
<b>III. Who Is Involved?</b> .....	163
<b>IV. Why Do Medical Civil Rights Provide Benefits?</b> .....	166
<b>V. Conclusion</b> .....	168

## **Banning Lethal Autonomous Weapons: An Education**

Stuart Russell; trans. by Guanda Wu\*

**Abstract:** Lethal autonomous weapons risk harming civilians indiscriminately, violating the principle of distinction under international humanitarian law. Thus, the assertion that “algorithms capable of deciding to kill humans should not be designed” appears self-evident. Additional grounds for prohibiting such weapons include risks of cyber infiltration, conflict escalation, and accountability gaps. Yet this principle faces challenges in arms control area. Proponents argue that autonomous weapons reduce risks to human combatants while protecting civilians, but this view overlooks the complexity of warfare and the varying casualty impacts of different weapon systems. Discussions about lethal autonomous weapons should focus on their potential to fundamentally transform the nature of warfare and shift power balances between states and non-state entities, framing arguments through appeals to national self-interest. With fully autonomous weapon systems becoming operational reality, and given the limited effectiveness of national/international advocacy, public campaigns, and narrow expert consensus, the AI and robotics communities—represented by professional associations—shall articulate clear positions to advance educational efforts toward banning lethal autonomous weapons.

**Keywords:** Lethal Autonomous Weapons; Arms Control; Educational Campaign

---

\* Stuart Russell, UC Berkeley; Guanda Wu, East China University of Political Science and Law

## A Comment on Hillman, Health Crises Contract in Crises

David A. Hoffman; trans. by Tianyi Cao\*

**Abstract:** This article reviews Bob Hillman's work *Health Crises and the Limited Role of Contract Law*, which examines the challenges faced by contract law during health crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Hillman argues that traditional contract law, with its focus on individual rights and predictability, struggles to address the broader public health issues arising from such crises. Building on Hillman's analysis, this paper discusses the application of force majeure clauses and the tension between enforcing private contractual rights and prioritizing public welfare. It highlights the difficulties courts encounter in balancing these competing interests and explores whether the current legal framework is adequate or requires reform to better respond to public health emergencies.

**Keywords:** Review, Contract Law, Non-performance, Bob Hillman, Health Crises, Force Majeure

---

\* David A. Hoffman, William A. Schnader Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School; Tianyi Cao, School of Law, Hongkong University.

## Editorial Board

*Editor-in-Chief:* **Ge Zheng**

## Editorial Team

*Associate Editors:* You Zhang, Yan Pan, Shuai Wei

*Junior Editors:* Yujing Lai, Yiyao Zu, Shengpu Huang

*The China Law Journal, a prestigious legal periodical sponsored by the independent society La Nouvelle Jeunesse. Embodying the rational ethos and idealistic legacy of China, the China Law Journal serves as a distinguished forum for legal scholars, students, practitioners, and interdisciplinary researchers. Dedicated to probing deep cognitive frameworks and investigative paradigms relevant to societal dynamics, the journal offers comprehensive analyses of legal norms and systems, delving into their foundational motivations. Our stringent peer-review process guarantees both the theoretical and practical merits of our content, promoting influential scholarly views that catalyze effective communication, knowledge exchange, and reflective discourse across varied perspectives.*

*The full content of this journal has been included in the HeinOnline Database.*

*Consistent with our ethos of academic integrity, we emphasize 'originality' as a crucial criterion for submissions to the China Law Journal. We require that manuscripts be previously unpublished in any formal publication. In our endeavor to facilitate widespread knowledge dissemination, we ensure that all published content conforms to the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) guidelines.*

China Law Journal | China L. J. | 华夏法学

ISSN: 2993-6004 (Online) | 2993-5954 (Print)

Publication Date: June 14, 2025

Submission: <https://clj.scholasticahq.com/>

Website: <https://www.clj.ac/>

Email: [info@clj.ac](mailto:info@clj.ac)

Publisher: La Nouvelle Jeunesse

Address: 655 15th Street NW, Washington, DC 20005

Email: [info@jeunesse.ac](mailto:info@jeunesse.ac)